Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,923	KAWASE, TOMOHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Felisa C. Hiteshew.	(3)		
(2) <u>Thomas J. Bean</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 May 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-6,9-14,17,20,21 and 23-40</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tsukuba and Davos</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)∐ was not reached. h)∐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 9 has been amended to overcome Tsukuba and Davos. New claims 38-40 have been added and a new reason for allowance has been written and will be forthcoming.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	RVIEW. See Summary of Rec	cord of Interview	
	/Felisa C. Hiteshew/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17	<b>'</b> 92	
	Examiner's signature, if requi		